
From: Greene, Tom
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2015 6:29 PM
To: 'Todt, Jonathan H.'; Loughlin, Chuck; Pugh, Sean P.; 'rpratt@atg.state.il.us'; Caputo, Christopher
Cc: McCann, Robert W; Vorrasi, Kenneth M.; Roach, Lee; Dahlquist, David E. (DDahlquist@winston.com); Pullos, Michael S. (MPullos@winston.com); BC-BE Advocate
Subject: RE: FTC, et al. v. Advocate Health Care Network, et al.; Case No. 1:15-cv-11473
Attachments: Advoacte_2015-12-30 DRAFT - Plaintiffs' Draft Scheduling Order.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Thanks, Jonathan. Please find attached Plaintiffs' Draft Case Management Order. As I mentioned to Rob earlier today, I am checking schedules here for a possible short meeting/teleconference tomorrow afternoon EST and another longer meeting/teleconference on Monday, 1/4, in the morning EST to discuss our respective drafts. On Monday, I will be in D.C., so we can certainly host anyone who wants to meet in person at our office. Let me know what dates/times work for you and your team. Thanks. Best, Tom

From: Todt, Jonathan H. [<mailto:Jonathan.Todt@dbr.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2015 2:26 PM
To: Greene, Tom; Loughlin, Chuck; Pugh, Sean P.; 'rpratt@atg.state.il.us'; Caputo, Christopher
Cc: McCann, Robert W; Vorrasi, Kenneth M.; Roach, Lee; Dahlquist, David E. (DDahlquist@winston.com); Pullos, Michael S. (MPullos@winston.com)
Subject: FTC, et al. v. Advocate Health Care Network, et al.; Case No. 1:15-cv-11473

All,
Pursuant to Judge Alonso's December 22 order, attached is a proposed Case Management Order. We are happy to discuss once you've had a chance to review.

We also believe we ought to have a conference call tomorrow to discuss your proposed edits to the Protective Order. We do not agree with several of your proposed changes and think it would be more productive to discuss this over the phone rather than exchange further drafts. We are available to discuss at any time prior to 3 PM ET tomorrow.

Regards,

Jonathan H. Todt
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
1500 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-1209
(202) 230-5823 *office*
(202) 842-8465 *fax*
(240) 490-1039 *mobile*
Jonathan.Todt@dbr.com
www.drinkerbiddle.com

Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP is a Delaware limited liability partnership. The partner responsible for the firm's Princeton office is Jonathan I. Epstein, and the partner responsible for the firm's Florham Park office is Andrew

B. Joseph.

This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the intended addressee (or authorized to receive for the intended addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender at Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP by reply e-mail and delete the message. Thank you very much.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

and

STATE OF ILLINOIS

Plaintiffs,

v.

ADVOCATE HEALTH CARE NETWORK,

ADVOCATE HEALTH AND HOSPITALS
CORPORATION,

and

NORTHSORE UNIVERSITY
HEALTHSYSTEM

Defendants.

**PARTIES' JOINT PROPOSED
CASE MANAGEMENT AND SCHEDULING ORDER**

Plaintiffs Federal Trade Commission (“FTC” or “Commission”) and the State of Illinois (collectively with FTC, “Plaintiffs”) have met and conferred with Defendants Advocate Health Care Network, Advocate Health and Hospitals Corporation, and NorthShore University HealthSystem (collectively, “Defendants”). The parties jointly submit the following Proposed Case Management and Scheduling Order.

I. Joint Proposed Scheduling Order

A. **TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER:** The court entered the Stipulation and Temporary Restraining Order on December 22, 2015.

B. DISCOVERY

1. Fact Discovery. As ordered by the court on December 22, 2015, the parties have commenced issuing discovery. Fact discovery shall be completed by February 16, 2016.
2. Initial Disclosures. Plaintiffs, by December 31, 2015, and Defendants, by January 15, 2016, will serve the other side with lists of people with knowledge and will make a good faith effort to produce copies of all documents in their possession that they may use to support their claims and defenses in this action, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1). To the extent additional documents come to the parties' attention during discovery, they will supplement their disclosures promptly.
3. Pre-Trial Discovery Conference. The parties' prior consultations and submission of this stipulated Order relieve the parties of their duty under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) to confer about scheduling and a discovery plan.
4. Third-Party Discovery. For any third-party subpoena, the parties will not request a return date sooner than seven calendar days after service. The parties agree to produce all materials received pursuant to a third-party subpoena to the non-serving party in the format the materials were received within 48 hours of knowing receipt.
5. Document Production. The parties shall not be required to produce to each other in discovery in this case any documents previously produced by Defendants to Plaintiff FTC in the course of the investigation of the acquisition of NorthShore University HealthSystem by Advocate Health Care Network, FTC File No.141-0231.
6. Expert Materials Not Subject to Discovery. Expert disclosures and reports shall comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2), except as modified herein:

- a) Neither side must preserve or disclose, including in expert deposition testimony, the following documents or materials:
 - i. any form of communication or work product shared between any of the parties' counsel and their expert(s), or between any of the experts themselves;
 - ii. any form of communication or work product shared between an expert(s) and persons assisting the expert(s);
 - iii. expert's notes; unless they constitute the only record of a fact or an assumption relied upon by the expert in formulating an opinion in this case; or
 - iv. drafts of expert reports, analyses, or other work product.
- b) The parties shall disclose the following materials with all expert reports:
 - i. all documents relied on by the testifying expert(s) by Bates number;
 - ii. all copies (except for those excluded above) of any materials relied on by the testifying expert(s) that were not previously produced and are not readily available through public sources; and
 - iii. all data and programs underlying the expert's calculations for any calculation appearing in an expert report, including all programs and codes necessary to recreate the calculation from the initial ("raw") data files, and any intermediate files.

7. Requests for Admission and Exhibits. The parties shall be limited to serve twenty requests for admission per side, subject to the following provisions:

- a) There will be no limit on the number of requests for admission for the authenticity of documents or admissibility of evidence. Requests for

Admission related to the authenticity of a document and admissibility of evidence shall not count against the limit of twenty Requests for Admission. The parties will respond to requests regarding the authenticity and admissibility of documents no later than ten calendar days after the date of service.

- b) It shall be presumed that a document produced from the files of a party is an authentic business record. Any good faith objection to a document's status as a business record must be provided at the same time as other objections to intended trial exhibits. If the opposing side serves a specific good faith written objection to the document's status as a business record, the parties will promptly meet and confer to attempt to resolve any objection. If the objection is not resolved, the party seeking to introduce the exhibit shall have the opportunity to take discovery regarding the exhibit(s) in question. Any objections not resolved through this means or the discovery process will be resolved by the Court.

8. Interrogatories. The parties shall be limited to serve fifty interrogatories per side seeking only factual information (i.e., no contention interrogatories). The parties shall serve responses to the interrogatories no later than ten calendar days after the date of service.

9. Service of Objections to Written Discovery. The parties shall serve any objections to written discovery requests within ten calendar days of service of the discovery requests to which objections are asserted.

10. Shortened Time for Responses. Presumptively reasonable time for responses to demands under Fed. R. Civ. P 33 and 36 is reduced from thirty calendar days to seven

calendar days. Presumptively reasonable time for responses to demands under Fed. R. Civ. P 34 is reduced from thirty calendar days to fourteen calendar days. Parties may seek relief from the Court from this provision for good cause shown.

11. Exchange of Lists of Fact Witnesses to Appear at Hearing. The parties shall exchange preliminary party and third-party fact witness lists no later than 5:00 pm ET, on January 20, 2016. Such preliminary party and non-party fact witness lists shall include a summary of the topics of each witness's testimony. The preliminary witness list shall include the name of the employer of each witness and a description of the responsibilities of any third party witness who has not yet been personally identified. The parties will update their preliminary lists promptly as they add or delete witnesses. Final party and non-party fact witness lists shall be exchanged on or before January 26, 2016, with a summary of the topics of each witness's testimony, two courtesy copies of which shall be filed with chambers. Additional witnesses may be added to the final witness list after this date only by agreement of the parties or with leave of the Court for good cause shown.

12. Depositions.

a) The parties shall be limited to take thirty-five fact witness depositions per side. Plaintiffs or Defendants may apply to the court for leave to take additional depositions. The parties shall consult with each other prior to confirming any deposition to coordinate the time and place of the deposition. The parties may not serve a deposition notice with fewer than seven calendar days' notice. The parties shall use reasonable efforts to reduce the burden on witnesses noticed for depositions and to accommodate the witness's schedule.

- b) All depositions shall be limited to a maximum of seven hours.
- c) For any non-party deposition, the time for the deposition shall be allocated evenly between the two sides. Unused time in any party's allocation of deposition time shall not transfer to the other party. A party need not separately notice the deposition of a non-party noticed by an opposing party. For depositions of a party or a witness retained by a party, the opposing party shall be allocated the entire seven hours.
- d) If a party serves a non-party subpoena for the production of documents or electronically stored information and a subpoena commanding attendance at a deposition, the deposition date must be at least seven calendar days after the non-party's compliance with the subpoena for the production of documents or electronically stored information.

13. Expert Reports.¹ Parties shall exchange expert reports on February 16, 2016. Parties will exchange any rebuttal reports on March 1, 2016.

14. Expert Depositions. Depositions of each side's experts may only be conducted after the disclosure of each expert's report. Expert depositions must be completed on or before March 18, 2016.

15. Discovery Uses. All discovery taken in the above-captioned litigation can be used in connection with the Administrative Action and vice versa.

16. Privilege Logs. The parties agree to suspend the obligation under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5)(A), to produce a log of privileged materials withheld from discovery taken

¹ At the time of service of the expert reports, a party shall provide opposing counsel (i) a list of all commercially-available computer programs used by the expert in the preparation of the report; (ii) a copy of all data sets used by the expert, in native and processed data file formats; and (iii) all customized computer programs used by the expert in the preparation of the report or necessary to replicate the findings on which the expert report is based.

in this action (excluding the Defendants' productions made during the course of the FTC's pre-complaint investigation). Notwithstanding the foregoing, the parties shall log withheld materials that are: 1) authored by, addressed to, or received from any non-party; or 2) internal to a party that are not authored by, sent to, or received from the party's attorneys. For purposes of this paragraph, a "non-party" excludes a party's retained expert (and employees or associates of such expert) within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b). The parties shall maintain all documents responsive to a discovery request that are withheld pursuant to a claim of privilege or protection.

17. Preservation of Privilege and Work Product. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P 16(b)(3)(iv) and Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), inadvertent production of documents or communications containing privileged information or attorney work product shall not be a basis for loss of privilege or work product protection of the inadvertently produced material, provided that the producing party notifies the receiving party within 72 hours of the inadvertent production. When a party determines that it has inadvertently produced such material, it will promptly notify other parties, who will promptly delete the protected material from their document management systems. Within five business days of identifying inadvertently produced information or document(s), the party seeking claw-back of such materials shall provide a privilege log entry for the identified information or document(s).

18. Pre-Hearing Disclosures. The parties shall exchange pre-hearing disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) not later than 20 days before the first day of any evidentiary hearing for a preliminary injunction, including witnesses and exhibits. Parties shall have three business days after disclosure of exhibits to challenge the authenticity or

admissibility under the hearsay rule of any documents or records; the burden to show that such materials are not reliable will be on the challenger.

19. Conference with Court Required. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(v), Local Rule 37.2, and the Court's Case Management Procedures, parties shall meet and confer with one another and with the Court before filing any motion to compel discovery.

20. Notwithstanding any other provision herein, the parties may modify deadlines in paragraphs 1-19 in this Order by agreement.

C. BRIEFING SCHEDULE

21. Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction shall be filed by February 18, 2016. Defendants' Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction shall be filed by March 7, 2016. Plaintiffs' Reply Brief shall be filed by March 21, 2016.

D. PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION EVIDENTIARY HEARING

22. The Court has scheduled an evidentiary hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction to begin on April 6, 2016, starting at 11:00 a.m. The evidentiary hearing will last for three days. Defendants and Plaintiffs shall split the time evenly, with cross-examination counting against the party conducting the cross-examination. The Court's chief clerk will provide the parties with a daily tally of the time consumed by each side. Should the Court augment the time available for this proceeding, any additional time shall be divided equally between Plaintiffs and Defendants.

23. Use of Hearsay. In general, hearsay shall be admitted in the proceeding subject to the Court's determination of the weight to be accorded any document or information.

Each party reserves the right to argue that a particular exhibit or statement is too untrustworthy or too unreliable to have evidentiary value. However, the Court will admit over any hearsay objection any sworn affidavits or declarations from a percipient person and all expert reports written by a testifying expert.

E. OTHER MATTERS

24. Electronic Service. Service of all correspondence and formal papers filed, whether under seal or otherwise, shall be by electronic mail. In the event any correspondence or formal papers are too voluminous for electronic mail, the parties shall serve an electronic disk version on opposing counsel by hand. The serving party will telephone the other side's principal designee when the materials are sent to alert them that the materials are being served. Electronic delivery shall be treated the same as hand delivery for purposes of calculating response times under the Federal Rules. Service on Plaintiff FTC shall be deemed service on the Plaintiff State of Illinois. Plaintiff FTC shall provide copies to the Plaintiff State of Illinois of any correspondence or formal papers served by Defendants.

25. Answer. Defendants shall answer the complaint on or before January 11, 2016.

26. Nationwide Service. The parties will be allowed nationwide service of discovery and trial subpoenas pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 and 15 U.S.C. § 23, to issue from this Court.

Schedule

Event	Date
Fact discovery begins	December 22, 2015
Plaintiffs complete initial disclosures	December 31, 2015
Defendants answer complaint	January 11, 2016
Defendants complete initial disclosures	January 15, 2016
Parties exchange preliminary fact witness lists	January 20, 2016
Parties exchange final fact witness lists	January 26, 2016
Close of fact discovery	February 16, 2016
Parties exchange expert reports	February 16, 2016
Plaintiffs' memorandum in support of preliminary injunction due	February 18, 2016
Parties exchange rebuttal expert reports	March 1, 2016
Defendants' memorandum in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction due	March 7, 2016
Expert depositions may begin	March 10, 2016
Close of expert discovery	March 18, 2016
Parties exchange exhibit lists [and deposition designations]	March 18, 2016
Plaintiffs' reply brief in support of preliminary injunction due	March 21, 2016
Parties exchange objections to exhibits [and deposition designations]	March 24, 2016
Final pre-hearing conference	TBD
Evidentiary hearing	April 6-8, 2016
Exchange of proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law	April 15, 2016
Closing arguments	April 22, 2016

* * * *

Dated:

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/

James T. Greene, Esq.
Charles Loughlin, Esq.
Sean P. Pugh, Esq.
Federal Trade Commission
Bureau of Competition
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580
Telephone: (202) 326-5196
Facsimile: (202) 326-2286
Email: tgreen2@ftc.gov
Email: cloughlin@ftc.gov
Email: spugh@ftc.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission

/s/

Robert W. Pratt, Esq.
Blake Harrop, Esq.
Office of the Attorney
State of Illinois
100 West Randolph Street
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Telephone: (312) 814-3000
Facsimile: (312) 814-4209
Email: rpratt@atg.state.il.us
Email: bharrop@atg.state.il.us

Counsel for Plaintiff State of Illinois

/s/

Robert W. McCann, Esq.
Kenneth M. Vorrasi, Esq.
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
1500 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 842-8800
Email: Robert.McCann@dbr.com
Email: Kenneth.Vorrasi@dbr.com

Counsel for Defendants Advocate Health Care Network and Advocate Health and Hospitals Corporation

/s/

David E. Dahlquist, Esq.
Winston & Strawn LLP
35 W. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60601
Telephone: (312) 558-5660
Email: Ddahlquist@winston.com

*Counsel for Defendant NorthShore
University HealthSystem*

SO ORDERED

Jorge L. Alonso
United States District Court Judge

NAMES OF PERSONS TO BE SERVED

James T. Greene, Esq.
Charles Loughlin, Esq.
Sean P. Pugh, Esq.
Federal Trade Commission
Bureau of Competition
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580
Telephone: (202) 326-5196
Facsimile: (202) 326-2286
Email: tgreen2@ftc.gov
Email: cloughlin@ftc.gov
Email: spugh@ftc.gov

James H. Davis, Esq.
Federal Trade Commission
55 West Monroe Street, Suite 1825
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Telephone: (312) 960-5634
Facsimile: (312) 960-5600
Email: jdavis@ftc.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff
Federal Trade Commission*

Robert W. Pratt, Esq.
Chief, Antitrust Bureau
Office of the Attorney General
State of Illinois
100 West Randolph Street
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Telephone: (312) 814-3000
Facsimile: (312) 814-4209

Counsel for Plaintiff State of Illinois

Robert W. McCann, Esq.
Kenneth M. Vorrasi, Esq.
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
1500 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 842-8800
Email: Robert.McCann@dbr.com
Email: Kenneth.Vorrasi@dbr.com

*Counsel for Defendants Advocate Health
Care Network and Advocate Health and
Hospitals Corporation*

David E. Dahlquist, Esq.
Winston & Strawn LLP
35 W. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60601
Telephone: (312) 558-5660
Email: Ddahlquist@winston.com

*Counsel for Defendant NorthShore
University HealthSystem*